arXiv:math/0211026v1 [math.AG] 2 Nov 2002

THE EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY RING OF REGULAR VARIETIES

MICHEL BRION AND JAMES B. CARRELL

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ denote the upper triangular subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{T}$ its diagonal torus and $\mathfrak{U}$ its unipotent radical. A complex projective variety Y endowed with an algebraic action of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that the fixed point set $Y^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a single point, is called regular. Associated to any regular $\mathfrak{B}$–variety Y, there is a remarkable affine curve $\mathcal{Z}_Y$ with a $\mathfrak{T}$–action which was studied in [7]. In this note, we show that the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]$ is isomorphic with the equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y)$ with complex coefficients, when Y is smooth or, more generally, is a $\mathfrak{B}$–stable subvariety of a regular smooth $\mathfrak{B}$–variety X such that the restriction map from $H^*(X)$ to $H^*(Y)$ is surjective. This isomorphism is obtained as a refinement of the localization theorem in equivariant cohomology; it applies e.g. to Schubert varieties in flag varieties, and to the Peterson variety studied in [11]. Another application of our isomorphism is a natural algebraic formula for the equivariant push forward.

1. Preliminaries

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the group of upper triangular 2×2 complex matrices of determinant 1. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{U}$) be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{B}$ consisting of diagonal (resp. unipotent) matrices. We have isomorphisms $\lambda : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathfrak{T}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{U}$, where

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

together satisfying the relation

$$\lambda(t)\varphi(u)\lambda(t^{-1}) = \varphi(t^2 u). \tag{1}$$

Consider the generators

$$\mathcal{V} = \dot{\varphi}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W} = \dot{\lambda}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

of the Lie algebras Lie($\mathfrak{U}$) and Lie($\mathfrak{T}$) respectively. Then $[\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V}] = 2\mathcal{V}$, and

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi(u))\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W} - 2u\mathcal{V} \tag{2}$$

The second author was partially supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$.

In this note, X will denote a smooth complex projective algebraic variety endowed with an algebraic action of $\mathfrak{B}$ such that the fixed point scheme $X^{\mathfrak{U}}$ consists of one point o. Both the $\mathfrak{B}$–variety X and the action will be called *regular*. Then $o \in X^{\mathfrak{T}}$, since $X^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is $\mathfrak{T}$–stable. Moreover, $X^{\mathfrak{T}}$ is finite by Lemma 1 of [7]. Thus we may write

$$X^{\mathfrak{T}} = \{\zeta_1 = o, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r\}. \tag{3}$$

Clearly $r = \chi(X)$, the Euler characteristic of X.

Let $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ denote the $\mathfrak{T}$–equivariant cohomology ring of X with complex coefficients. To define it, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a contractible space with a free action of $\mathfrak{T}$ and let $X_{\mathfrak{T}} = (X \times \mathcal{E})/\mathfrak{T}$ (quotient by the diagonal $\mathfrak{T}$–action). Then

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) = H^*(X_{\mathfrak{T}}).$$

It is well known that the equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(pt)$ of a point is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z]$, where z denotes the linear form on the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{T}$ such that $z(\mathcal{W}) = 1$. The degree of z is 2. Thus $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ is a graded algebra over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[z] = H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(pt)$ (via the constant map $X \to pt$).

In our situation, the restriction map in cohomology

$$i^*_{\mathfrak{T}} : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X^{\mathfrak{T}}),$$

induced by the inclusion $i : X^{\mathfrak{T}} \hookrightarrow X$, is injective [10]. By (3),

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X^{\mathfrak{T}}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\zeta_j) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}[z],$$

so each $\alpha \in H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ defines an r–tuple of polynomials $(\alpha_{\zeta_1}, \dots, \alpha_{\zeta_r})$. That is,

$$i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\alpha) = (\alpha_{\zeta_1}, \dots, \alpha_{\zeta_r}). \tag{4}$$

We will define a refined version of this restriction map in §4 below.

2. The $\mathfrak{B}$–stable curves

Throughout this note, a curve in X will be a purely one–dimensional closed subset of X. One which is stable under a subgroup G of $\mathfrak{B}$ is called a G–curve. The $\mathfrak{B}$–curves in X play a crucial role, so we will next establish a few of their basic properties.

Proposition 1. *If X is a regular $\mathfrak{B}$–variety, then every irreducible $\mathfrak{B}$–curve C in X has the form $C = \overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot \zeta_j}$ for some index $j \geq 2$. Moreover, every $\mathfrak{B}$–curve contains o. In particular, there are only finitely many irreducible $\mathfrak{B}$–curves in X, and they all meet at o.*

Proof. It is clear that if $j \geq 2$, then $C = \overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot \zeta_j}$ is a $\mathfrak{B}$–curve in X containing ζ_j, which, by the Borel Fixed Point Theorem, also contains o, since o is the only $\mathfrak{B}$–fixed point. Conversely, every $\mathfrak{B}$–curve C in X contains o, and at least one other $\mathfrak{T}$–fixed point. Indeed, since o has an affine open $\mathfrak{T}$–stable neighbourhood X_o in X, the complement $C - X_o$ is nonempty and $\mathfrak{T}$–stable. It follows immediately that $C = \overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot x}$ for some $x \in X^{\mathfrak{T}} - o$. $\square$

Consider the action of $\mathfrak{B}$ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{z}{t(t+uz)}$$

(the inverse of the standard action). It has $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathfrak{T}} = \{0, \infty\}$ and $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{\mathfrak{U}} = \{0\}$ and is therefore regular. Note that if $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & u \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{tu},$$

so $\varphi(u) \cdot \infty = u^{-1}$.

The diagonal action of $\mathfrak{B}$ on $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is also regular. By Proposition 1, the irreducible $\mathfrak{B}$–curves in $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are of the form $\overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot (x, \infty)}$ or $\overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot (x, 0)}$, where $x \in X^{\mathfrak{T}}$. Only the first type will play a role here. Thus put $Z_j = \overline{\mathfrak{B} \cdot (\zeta_j, \infty)}$, and let $\pi_j : Z_j \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the second projection. Clearly every π_j is bijective, hence $Z_j \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. In addition,

$$Z_j = \{(\varphi(u) \cdot \zeta_j, u^{-1}) \mid u \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \cup \{(\zeta_j, \infty)\} \cup \{(o, 0)\},$$

so $Z_i \cap Z_j = \{(o, 0)\}$ as long as $i \neq j$. Moreover, restricting π_j gives an isomorphism

$$p_j : Z_j - (\zeta_j, \infty) \to \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Finally, put

$$Z = \bigcup_{1 \le j \le r} Z_j.$$

Thus Z is the union of all irreducible $\mathfrak{B}$–stable curves in $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that are mapped onto $\mathbb{P}^1$ by the second projection $\pi : X \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

3. THE FUNDAMENTAL SCHEME $\mathcal{Z}$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the vector field on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{(x,v)} = 2\mathcal{V}_x - v\mathcal{W}_x. \tag{5}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{A}$ is tangent to the fibres of the projection to $\mathbb{A}^1$. By (2),

$$(\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi(u))\mathcal{W})_x = -u\mathcal{A}_{(x,u^{-1})}. \tag{6}$$

The contraction operator $i(\mathcal{A})$ defines a sheaf of ideals $i(\mathcal{A})(\Omega^1_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1})$ of the structure sheaf of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ denote the associated closed subscheme of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. In other words, $\mathcal{Z}$ is the zero scheme of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 1. *The vector field $\mathcal{A}$ here is a variant of the vector field studied in* [7]*. Both have the same zero scheme.*

The properties of $\mathcal{Z}$ figure prominently in this note. First put

$$X_o = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t)\cdot x = o\}. \tag{7}$$

Clearly, X_o is $\mathfrak{T}$–stable; and it follows easily from (1) that X_o is open in X (see Proposition 1 of [7] for details). Hence by the Bialynicki–Birula decomposition theorem [3], X_o is $\mathfrak{T}$–equivariantly isomorphic to the tangent space T_oX, where $\mathfrak{T}$ acts by its canonical representation at a fixed point. The weights of the associated action of λ on T_oX are all negative. So we may choose coordinates $x_1,\dots,x_n$ on $X_o \cong T_oX$ that are eigenvectors of $\mathfrak{T}$; the weight a_i of x_i is a positive integer (it turns out to be even, see [2]). This identifies the positively graded ring $\mathbb{C}[X_o]$ with $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$, where $\deg x_i = a_i$. Now $X\times\mathbb{P}^1$ contains $X_o\times\mathbb{A}^1$ as a $\mathfrak{T}$–stable affine open subset, with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n,v]$, where v has degree 2.

Proposition 2. *The scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ is reduced, and contained in $X_o\times\mathbb{A}^1$ as a $\mathfrak{T}$–curve. Its ideal in $\mathbb{C}[X_o\times\mathbb{A}^1] = \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n,v]$ is generated by*

$$v\mathcal{W}(x_1) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_1),\dots,v\mathcal{W}(x_n) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_n). \tag{8}$$

These form a homogeneous regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n,v]$, and the degree of each $v\mathcal{W}(x_i) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_i)$ equals a_i+2. The irreducible components of $\mathcal{Z}$ are the $\mathcal{Z}_j$, $1\le j\le r$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}_j = Z_j - \{(\zeta_j,\infty)\}. \tag{9}$$

Each $\mathcal{Z}_j$ is mapped isomorphically to $\mathbb{A}^1$ by the second projection p. In particular, p is finite and flat of degree r, and $\mathcal{Z}$ has r irreducible components. Any two such components meet only at $(o,0)$.

Proof. This follows from the results in §3 of [7]. We provide direct arguments for the reader's convenience.

Since $[\mathcal{W},\mathcal{V}] = 2\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}(v) = 2v$ (on $\mathbb{A}^1$), it follows that $\mathcal{A}$ commutes with the vector field induced by the diagonal $\mathfrak{T}$–action on $X\times\mathbb{A}^1$. Thus, $\mathcal{Z}$ is $\mathfrak{T}$–stable.

Next we claim that (9) holds set–theoretically. Let $(x,v)\in X\times\mathbb{A}^1$ with $v\neq 0$. Then $(x,v)\in\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $\mathcal{W}_x - 2v^{-1}\mathcal{V}_x = 0$, that is, x is a zero of $\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi(v^{-1})\mathcal{W})$; equivalently, $\varphi(-v^{-1})\cdot x \in X^{\mathfrak{T}}$. On the other hand, $(x,0)\in\mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}_x = 0$, that is, $x = o$. Thus $\mathcal{Z} = Z\cap(X\times\mathbb{A}^1)$ (as sets). Further, $Z\cap(X\times\mathbb{A}^1)$ is an open affine $\mathfrak{T}$–stable neighborhood of $(o,0)$ in Z, and hence equals $Z\cap(X_o\times\mathbb{A}^1)$. This implies our claim.

It follows that $\mathcal{Z}\subseteq X_o\times\mathbb{A}^1$ (as schemes), so that the ideal of $\mathcal{Z}$ is generated by $v\mathcal{W}(x_1) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_1),\dots,v\mathcal{W}(x_n) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_n)$. These polynomials are homogeneous of degrees $a_1+2,\dots,a_n+2$; together with v, they have only the origin as their common zero (since o is the unique zero of $\mathcal{V}$). Hence

$$v\mathcal{W}(x_1) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_1),\dots,v\mathcal{W}(x_n) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_n), v$$

form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n,v]$, and v is a non–zero divisor in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. As a consequence, the $\mathbb{C}[v]$–module $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is finitely generated and free. In other words, $p:\mathcal{Z}\to\mathbb{A}^1$ is finite and flat.

Fix $v_0 \neq 0$ and consider the scheme–theoretic intersection $\mathcal{Z} \cap (X \times v_0)$. This identifies with the zero scheme of $2\mathcal{V}_x - v_0\mathcal{W}_x$ in X, that is, to the zero scheme of $\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi(v_0^{-1})\mathcal{W})$. The latter consists of $r = \chi(X)$ distinct points, so that $\mathcal{Z} \cap (X \times v_0)$ is reduced. Since $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is a free module over $\mathbb{C}[v]$, it follows easily that $\mathcal{Z} \cap (v \neq 0)$ is reduced. But the open subset $\mathcal{Z} \cap (v \neq 0)$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}$ by (9), and $\mathcal{Z}$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$. Thus, $\mathcal{Z}$ is reduced; this completes the proof. $\square$

4. The refined restriction

We now define our refined restriction on equivariant cohomology. Let $\alpha \in H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$. Recall from (4) that $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\alpha) = (\alpha_{\zeta_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\zeta_r})$, where each $\alpha_{\zeta_j} \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. We regard each α_{ζ_j} as a polynomial function on $\mathcal{Z}_j$ (isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1$ via p), and hence on $\mathcal{Z}_j - (o, 0)$. Since $\mathcal{Z} - (o, 0)$ is the disjoint union of the $\mathcal{Z}_j - (o, 0)$, this yields an algebra homomorphism

$$\rho : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z} - (o, 0)]$$

such that $\rho(\alpha)(x, v) = \alpha_{\zeta_j}(v)$ whenever $(x, v) \in \mathcal{Z}_j - (o, 0)$. In particular, $\rho(z)(x, v) = v$, so that $\rho(z) = v$. And since $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}$ preserves the grading, the same holds for ρ.

Note that the value $\alpha_{\zeta_j}(0)$ at the origin is independent of the index j. (For $X_{\mathfrak{T}} = (X \times \mathcal{E})/\mathfrak{T}$ is connected since both X and $\mathcal{E}$ are, so $H^0(X_{\mathfrak{T}})$ is by definition the set of constant functions on $X_{\mathfrak{T}}$. Consequently, the component of α in degree 0 gives the same value at each fixed point.) Now let $\mathbb{C}_0[\mathcal{Z}]$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z} - (0, o)]$ consisting of all elements that extend continuously to $\mathcal{Z}$ in the classical topology. Then

$$\rho\big(H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)\big) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_0[\mathcal{Z}].$$

We will show below that $\rho\big(H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)\big)$ is in fact the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. To do this, we compute the image under ρ of an equivariant Chern class.

5. Equivariant Chern theory

Recall that if Y is an algebraic variety with an action of an algebraic group G, a vector bundle E on Y is said to be G–linearized if there is an action of G on E lifting that on Y, such that each $g \in G$ defines a linear map from E_y to $E_{g \cdot y}$, for any $y \in Y$. In particular, if $y \in Y^G$, then we have a representation of G in E_y, and hence a representation of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Thus, each $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ acts on E_y, by $\xi_y \in \mathrm{End}(E_y)$.

Also, recall that the kth equivariant Chern class $c^G_k(E) \in H^{2k}_G(Y)$ is defined to be the kth Chern class of the vector bundle

$$E_G = (E \times \mathcal{E})/G \to X_G = (X \times \mathcal{E})/G,$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a contractible space with a free action of G. For $y \in Y^G$, the restriction $c_k^G(E)_y$ lies in $H_G^*(pt)$. The latter identifies with a subring of the coordinate ring of $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$, and we have

$$c_k^G(E)_y(\xi) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_y}(\xi_y)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathrm{Lie}(G)$.

Returning to our previous situation, let E be a $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized vector bundle on X. Then each $(x, v) \in \mathcal{Z}$ is a zero of $v\mathcal{W} - 2\mathcal{V} \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathfrak{B})$. This yields an element $(v\mathcal{W} - 2\mathcal{V})_x \in \mathrm{End}(E_x)$

Lemma 1. *Let E be a $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized vector bundle on X and let k be a non–negative integer. Then we have for any $(x, v) \in \mathcal{Z}$:*

$$\rho(c_k^T(E))(x, v) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_x}((v\mathcal{W} - 2\mathcal{V})_x). \tag{10}$$

As a consequence, $\rho(c_k^T(E)) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$.

Proof. It suffices to check (10) for $v \neq 0$. Let j be the index such that $x = \varphi(v^{-1}) \cdot \zeta_j$. Letting $\mathcal{W}_{\zeta_j} \in \mathrm{End}(E_{\zeta_j})$ denote the lift of $\mathcal{W}$ at ζ_j, we have

$$\rho(c_k^T(E))(x, v) = c_k^T(E)_{\zeta_j}(v) = v^k\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_{\zeta_j}}(\mathcal{W}_{\zeta_j}). \tag{11}$$

Since E is $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} v^k\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_x}(\mathrm{Ad}(\varphi(v^{-1}))\mathcal{W})_x) &= v^k\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_x}((\mathcal{W} - 2v^{-1}\mathcal{V})_x) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^k E_x}((v\mathcal{W} - 2\mathcal{V})_x), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (10). □

We now obtain some of the main properties of ρ.

Proposition 3. *The image of the morphism $\rho : H_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z} - (o, 0)]$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$.*

Proof. Since X is smooth and projective, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to zH_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X) \to H_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X) \to H^*(X) \to 0. \tag{12}$$

By Proposition 3 of [7], the algebra $H^*(X)$ is generated by Chern classes of $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized vector bundles on X, so by Nakayama's Lemma, $H_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X)$ is generated (as an algebra) by their equivariant Chern classes. It follows from this and Lemma 1 that $\rho\big(H_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X)\big) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. □

6. THE FIRST MAIN RESULT

We now prove

Theorem 1. *For a smooth projective regular variety X, the homomorphism*

$$\rho : H_{\mathfrak{T}}^*(X) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$$

is an isomorphism of graded algebras.

Proof. To see ρ is injective, suppose $\rho(\alpha) = 0$. Then each α_{ζ_j} is 0, so $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\alpha) = 0$, where $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}$ is the restriction (cf. (4)). But, as noted previously, $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}}$ is injective, so $\alpha = 0$.

To complete the proof, it suffices to check that the Poincaré series of $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ coincide (since both algebras are positively graded, and ρ preserves the grading). Denote the former by $F_X(t)$ and the latter by $F_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$. By (12), we have an isomorphism

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)/zH^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \cong H^*(X). \tag{13}$$

Since $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ is a free $\mathbb{C}[z]$–module and z has degree 2, (13) implies

$$F_X(t) = \frac{P_X(t)}{1-t^2} \tag{14}$$

where $P_X(t)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of $H^*(X)$. On the other hand, since $p : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is finite, flat and $\mathfrak{T}$–equivariant (where $\mathfrak{T}$ acts linearly on $\mathbb{A}^1$ with weight 2), we have

$$F_{\mathcal{Z}}(t) = \frac{P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)}{1-t^2}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$ is the Poincaré polynomial of the finite–dimensional graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v)$. We now use the fact that the cohomology ring of X is isomorphic as a graded algebra to the coordinate ring of the zero scheme of $\mathcal{V}$ with the principal grading [7]. So

$$H^*(X) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\mathcal{V}(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{V}(x_n)) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v), \tag{15}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 2. Thus, $P_X(t) = P_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$, whence $F_X(t) = F_{\mathcal{Z}}(t)$. ☐

For a simple example, let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Let $e : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ denote the nilpotent linear transformation defined by

$$v_n \to v_{n-1} \to \cdots \to v_1 \to v_0 \to 0,$$

where $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Also, let $h = \mathrm{diag}(n+1, n-1, \dots, -n+1, -n-1)$. Then $[h, e] = 2e$, so we obtain a $\mathfrak{B}$–action on $\mathbb{P}^n$. This action is regular with unique fixed point $[v_0] = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$; its neighborhood X_o is the standard affine chart centered at $[v_0]$. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the usual affine coordinates at $[v_0]$. That is, $x_j = z_j/z_0$, where $[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ are the homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then each x_j is homogeneous of degree $2j$, and a straightforward computation gives

$$e(x_1) = x_2 - x_1^2,\ e(x_2) = x_3 - x_1x_2, \dots,\ e(x_{n-1}) = x_n - x_1x_{n-1},$$

$$e(x_n) = -x_1x_n.$$

Thus, the ideal of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, v]$ is generated by $-x_2 + x_1(x_1 + v)$, $-x_3 + x_2(x_1 + 2v)$, …, $-x_n + x_{n-1}(x_1 + (n-1)v)$, $x_n(x_1 + nv)$. By Theorem 1, it follows that

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, v]/\Big(\prod_{m=0}^{n}(x_1 + mv)\Big).$$

And by equivariant Chern theory, $x_1 = -c_1^{\mathfrak{T}}(L)$, where L is the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$. This presentation of $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is well known, and can be derived directly.

7. The general case

In this section, we will make some comments on regular $\mathfrak{B}$–varieties, dropping the smoothness assumption. Let Y be a complex projective variety endowed with a $\mathfrak{B}$–action such that $X^{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a unique point o. If Y is singular, it is necessarily singular at o, and so the $\mathfrak{T}$–stable neighbourhood Y_o of o defined in (7) is singular. Hence the results in §3 on the structure of $\mathcal{Z}$ (relative to Y) do not necessarily obtain. To be able to conclude something, let us assume that Y is equivariantly embedded into a smooth projective regular $\mathfrak{B}$–variety X. Thus the curve $\mathcal{Z}$ (relative to X) is well defined and enjoys all the properties derived above. We can therefore define

$$\mathcal{Z}_Y = \mathcal{Z} \cap (Y_o \times \mathbb{A}^1) \tag{16}$$

taking the intersection to be reduced. In other words, $\mathcal{Z}_Y$ is the union of the components of $\mathcal{Z}$ lying in $Y_o \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Now the construction of §4 yields a graded homomorphism

$$\rho_Y : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y) \to \mathbb{C}_0[\mathcal{Z}_Y].$$

If we make the additional assumption that $H^*(Y)$ is generated by Chern classes of $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized vector bundles, then the odd cohomology of Y is trivial, so that $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y)$ is a free module over $\mathbb{C}[z]$, and the restriction $i^*_{\mathfrak{T}} : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y^{\mathfrak{T}})$ is injective [10]. Hence, ρ_Y is injective. And by exactly the same argument, $\rho_Y(H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]$. The obstruction to ρ_Y being an isomorphism is therefore the equality of Poincaré series of these graded algebras.

Now there is a natural situation where this assumption is satisfied, hence one can generalize Theorem 1. Assume that the inclusion $j : Y \to X$ induces a surjection $j^* : H^*(X) \to H^*(Y)$. Then $H^*(Y)$ is generated by Chern classes of $\mathfrak{B}$–linearized vector bundles, and from the Leray spectral sequence, one sees that $j^*_{\mathfrak{T}} : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y)$ is surjective. The surjectivity of j^* holds, for example, in the case of Schubert varieties in flag varieties. Also, see §8.

Proceeding as above, we obtain an extension of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Suppose X is a smooth projective variety with a regular $\mathfrak{B}$–action and Y is a closed $\mathfrak{B}$–stable subvariety for which the restriction map $H^*(X) \to H^*(Y)$ is surjective. Then the map $\rho_Y : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y) \to \mathbb{C}_0[\mathcal{Z}_Y]$ yields a graded algebra isomorphism*

$$\rho_Y : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]$$

fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \arrow[d] \\ H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y) \arrow[r, "\rho_Y"] & \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y] \end{tikzcd} \tag{17}$$

where the vertical maps are the natural restrictions. Both vertical maps are surjections.

Proof. We know ρ is an isomorphism from Theorem 1, and ρ_Y is injective by the above remarks. Since the restriction map $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]$ is clearly surjective, it therefore follows that ρ_Y is also. □

As a corollary, we obtain one of the main results of [7].

Corollary 1. *With the notation and assumptions of Theorem 2, there exists a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v) \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d] & H^*(X) \arrow[d] \\ \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]/(v) \arrow[r, "\psi_Y"] & H^*(Y) \end{tikzcd} \tag{18}$$

where ψ and ψ_Y are graded algebra isomorphisms and the vertical maps are the natural restrictions.

Note that $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_Y]/(v)$ is the coordinate ring of the schematic intersection of $\mathcal{Z}_Y$ and $X \times 0$ in $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

8. Equivariant cohomology of the Peterson variety

Let G be a complex semi–simple linear algebraic group. Fix a pair of opposite Borel subgroups B and B^- and let $T = B \cap B^-$, a maximal torus of G. Denote the corresponding Lie algebras by $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{b}^-$ and $\mathfrak{t}$, and let Φ^+ and Φ^- be the roots of the pair (G, T) which arise from $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^-$ respectively.

Let M be a B-submodule of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$. Then

$$M = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Omega(M)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \text{ where } \Omega(M) = \{\alpha \in \Phi^- \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset M\}.$$

So $\Omega(M)$ is the set of weights of the quotient $M/\mathfrak{b}$. The B–module $M \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ yields a homogeneous vector bundle $G \times^B M$ over G/B, together with a morphism $G \times^B M \to \mathfrak{g}$ induced by $(g, m) \mapsto gm$. The fiber of this morphism at an arbitrary $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ identifies with

$$Y_M(x) = \{gB \in G/B \mid g^{-1}x \in M\}.$$

This is a closed subvariety of G/B, stable under the action of $G_{\mathbb{C}x}$ (the isotropy group of the line $\mathbb{C}x$).

If x is regular and semi–simple, then $Y_M(x)$ is known as a *Hessenberg variety.* It was shown in [8] that $Y_M(x)$ is nonsingular, and its Poincaré polynomial was also determined.

On the other hand, if x is a regular nilpotent, the situation is quite different. To describe it, recall that any regular nilpotent is conjugate to a principal nilpotent, defined as follows. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell \in \Phi^+$ denote the simple roots, and fix a non–zero e_{α_j} in each T–weight space $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Then a principal nilpotent is an element of the form $e = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e_{\alpha_j}$. By choosing an element $h \in \mathfrak{t}$ for which $\alpha_j(h) = 2$ for each index j, we obtain a pair $(e, h) \in \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{t}$ which determines a regular action of $\mathfrak{B}$ on G/B having unique $\mathfrak{B}$–fixed point $o = B$. Since $\mathfrak{B}$ fixes the line $\mathbb{C}e$, it stabilizes the subvariety $Y_M(e)$ for any M. Note that the semi–simple element h is also regular.

Let $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ denote the maximal torus in $\mathfrak{B}$ with Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}h$. Then $Y_M(e)^{\mathfrak{T}} = (G/B)^T \cap Y_M(e)$. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of (G, T), and choose a representative $n_w \in N_G(T)$ for each $w \in W$. Then $(G/B)^T = \{n_w B \mid w \in W\}$, and $n_w B \in Y_M(e)^{\mathfrak{T}}$ if and only if $n_w^{-1} e \in M$. This is equivalent to the condition $w^{-1}(\alpha_j) \in \Omega(M) \cup \Phi^+$ for each j.

The cohomology algebras of the varieties $Y_M(e)$ have been determined by Dale Peterson. Formulated in our terms, his result goes as follows.

Theorem 3. *For any B–submodule M of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$, the restriction map*

$$H^*(G/B) \to H^*(Y_M(e))$$

is surjective. Hence (by Theorem 2)

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(Y_M(e)) \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_{Y_M(e)}].$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{Z}_{Y_M(e)}$ is a complete intersection. Thus, the cohomology ring $H^*(Y_M(e))$ satisfies Poincaré duality. Its Poincaré polynomial is given by the product formula

$$P(Y_M(e), t) = \prod_{-\alpha \in \Omega(M)} \frac{1 - t^{ht(\alpha)+1}}{1 - t^{ht(\alpha)}}, \tag{19}$$

where $ht(\alpha)$ denotes the sum of the coefficients of $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ over the simple roots.

In the case where $M = \mathfrak{g}$, (19) is a well known product formula for the Poincaré polynomial of the flag variety.

The ideals $I(\mathcal{Z}_{Y_M(e)})$ admit explicit expressions related to the geometry of the nilpotent variety of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $U^- \subset G$ denote the unipotent radical of B^-. Since the natural map $\mu : U^- \to G/B$, $\mu(u) = uB$, is T–equivariant with respect to the conjugation action of T on U^-, we may equivariantly identify U^- and the open cell $(G/B)_o$. Let $\mathfrak{u}^-$ denote the Lie algebra of U^-, and let $\Pi_* : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{u}^-$ denote the projection. To get this explicit picture, we first note that $L_{u^{-1}*}\mathcal{V}_u = \Pi_*(u^{-1}e)$, where L_u denotes left translation by

$u \in U^-$, and L_{u*} is its differential (cf. [6, §2.1]). Consequently,

$$L_{u^{-1}*}\mathcal{A}_{(u,v)} = 2\Pi_*\big(u^{-1}e\big) - vL_{u^{-1}*}\mathcal{W}_u. \tag{20}$$

Defining $F_\alpha(u)$ as the component of $L_{u^{-1}*}\mathcal{A}_{(u,v)}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, it follows that

$$I(\mathcal{Z}) = (F_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi^-).$$

More precisely, we can write

$$u^{-1}e = e + k(u) + \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^-} v_\alpha(u)e_\alpha,$$

where $k \in \mathfrak{t}\otimes\mathbb{C}[U^-]$ and all $v_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[U^-]$. Then $F_\alpha = 2v_\alpha - vw_\alpha$, where we have put $w_\alpha(u) = (L_{u^{-1}*}\mathcal{W}_u)_\alpha$. Hence,

$$H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(G/B,\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}[U^- \times \mathbb{A}^1]/(2v_\alpha - vw_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi^-).$$

Since the functions v_β $(\beta \in \Phi^- - \Omega(M))$ cut out $Y_M(e)_o \times \mathbb{A}^1$, it follows $I(\mathcal{Z}_{Y_M(e)})$ is the ideal of the variety cut out by the $2v_\alpha - vw_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \Phi^-$, and the v_β, where $\beta \in \Phi^- - \Omega(M)$.

The case where

$$M = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi^+,\ \alpha(h)>2} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}. \tag{21}$$

is of particular interest. Then, as shown by Kostant in [11, cf. (9)], the Giventhal–Kim and Peterson formulas for the flag variety quantum cohomology [9, 12] may be interpreted as asserting an isomorphism of graded rings

$$\mathbb{C}[Y_M(e)_o] \cong QH^*(G^\vee/B^\vee),$$

where $G^\vee$ and $B^\vee$ denote the Langlands duals of G and B respectively and $QH^*(G^\vee/B^\vee)$ is the complex quantum cohomology ring of $G^\vee/B^\vee$. This led Kostant to call $Y_M(e)$ the *Peterson variety.* Notice that by Theorem 3, $P(Y_M(e),t) = (1+t)^{n-1}$.

9. An alternative proof of Theorem 1

We now give another proof of Theorem 1 independent of (15). Hence we will also obtain another proof of (15). Denote by $[X^{\mathfrak{T}}]_{\mathfrak{T}} \in H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ the equivariant cohomology class of the $\mathfrak{T}$–fixed point set. We compute its image under $\rho : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$.

Lemma 2. *$\rho([X^{\mathfrak{T}}]_{\mathfrak{T}})$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{Z}$ of the Jacobian determinant of the polynomial functions $v\mathcal{W}(x_1) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_1), \ldots, v\mathcal{W}(x_n) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_n)$ in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$.*

Proof. Let T_X be the tangent bundle to X, then $\mathcal{W}$ yields a $\mathfrak{T}$–invariant global section of T_X, with zero scheme $X^{\mathfrak{T}}$. Thus, we have $c^{\mathfrak{T}}_n(T_X) = [X^{\mathfrak{T}}]_{\mathfrak{T}}$

in $H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$. On the other hand, T_X carries a $\mathfrak{B}$–linearization, and we have by Lemma 1:

$$\rho(c_n^{\mathfrak{T}}(T_X))(x,v) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\wedge^n T_x X}(v\mathcal{W}_x - 2\mathcal{V}_x).$$

This is the Jacobian determinant of $v\mathcal{W}(x_1)-2\mathcal{V}(x_1),\dots,v\mathcal{W}(x_n)-2\mathcal{V}(x_n)$. $\square$

We will also need the following easy result of commutative algebra; we will provide a proof, for lack of a reference.

Lemma 3. *Let $P_1,\dots,P_n$ be polynomial functions in $x_1,\dots,x_n$, that are weighted homogeneous for a positive grading defined by $\deg x_j = a_j$. If the origin is the unique common zero to $P_1,\dots,P_n$, then the Jacobian determinant $J(P_1,\dots,P_n)$ is not in the ideal $(P_1,\dots,P_n)$.*

Proof. We begin with the special case where $P_1,\dots,P_n$ are homogeneous. We argue by induction on n, the result being evident for $n=1$. Let $d_1,\dots,d_n$ be the degrees of $P_1,\dots,P_n$. We have the Euler identities

$$x_1\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_1}+\cdots+x_n\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_n} = d_1P_1,$$
$$\cdots$$
$$x_1\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial x_1}+\cdots+x_n\frac{\partial P_n}{\partial x_n} = d_nP_n$$

that we view as a system of linear equalities in $x_1,\dots,x_n$. Its determinant is the Jacobian $J(P_1,\dots,P_n)=J$. For $1\le i,j\le n$, let $J_{i,j}$ be its maximal minor associated with the ith line and the jth column. Then we have

$$x_iJ = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}d_jP_jJ_{ij}. \tag{22}$$

Assume that $J\in(P_1,\dots,P_n)$ and write

$$J = f_1P_1+\cdots+f_nP_n$$

with $f_1,\dots,f_n\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. Using 22 for $i=1$, it follows that $(x_1f_1 - d_1J_{11})P_1$ is in the ideal $(P_2,\dots,P_n)$. But $P_1,\dots,P_n$ form a regular sequence in $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$, as they are homogeneous and the origin is their unique common zero. Therefore, $x_1f_1 - d_1J_{11}\in(P_2,\dots,P_n)$. In other words, $J_{11}\in(x_1,P_2,\dots,P_n)$.

After a linear change of coordinates, we can assume that $(x_1,P_2,\dots,P_n)$ is a regular sequence. For $2\le i\le n$, let

$$Q_i(x_2,\dots,x_n) = P_i(0,x_2,\dots,x_n).$$

Then we have in $\mathbb{C}[x_2,\dots,x_n]$:

$$J_{11}(0,x_2,\cdots,x_n)\in(Q_2,\dots,Q_n).$$

Now $J_{11}(0,x_2,\dots,x_n) = J(Q_2,\dots,Q_n)$, and $Q_2,\dots,Q_n$ are homogeneous polynomial functions of $x_2,\dots,x_n$ having the origin as their unique common zero. But this contradicts the inductive assumption. This completes the proof in the homogeneous case.

Consider now the case where $P_1, \dots, P_n$ are quasi–homogeneous for the weights $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_n$ be indeterminates; then the functions

$$(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mapsto P_i(y_1^{a_1}, \dots, y_n^{a_n})$$

($1 \leq i \leq n$) are homogeneous polynomials with the origin as their unique commmon zero. Their Jacobian determinant is

$$(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i y^{a_i - 1})\ J(P_1, \dots, P_n)(y_1^{a_1}, \dots, y_n^{a_n}).$$

By the first step of the proof, this function of $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is not in the ideal generated by the $P_i(y_1^{a_1}, \dots, y_n^{a_n})$. Thus, $J(P_1, \dots, P_n)(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ cannot be in $(P_1, \dots, P_n)$. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1. The functions $\mathcal{V}(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{V}(x_n)$ satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3, since they are quasi–homogeneous for the grading defined by the action of $\mathfrak{T}$, and o is the unique zero of $\mathcal{V}$. Thus, the Jacobian determinant of these functions is not in the ideal that they generate. By Lemma 2, it follows that $\rho([X^{\mathfrak{T}}]_{\mathfrak{T}})$ is not divisible by v in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$, for $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\mathcal{V}(x_1), \dots, \mathcal{V}(x_n))$.

The $\mathbb{C}[z]$–linear map $\rho : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ defines a map

$$\overline{\rho} : H^*(X) \cong H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)/(z) \to \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v),$$

a graded ring homomorphism. It suffices to prove that $\overline{\rho}$ is an isomorphism. Note that the spaces $H^*(X)$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v)$ have dimension r, so that it suffices to check injectivity of $\overline{\rho}$.

The image in $H^*(X)$ of $[X^{\mathfrak{T}}]_{\mathfrak{T}}$ is $r[pt]$, where $[pt]$ denotes the cohomology class of a point. Thus, $\overline{\rho}([pt]) \neq 0$. Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ be a basis of $H^*(X)$ consisting of homogeneous elements and let $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$ be the dual basis for the intersection pairing $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_X (\alpha \cup \beta) \cap [X]$. Then the homogeneous component of degree $2n$ in each product $\alpha_i \cup \beta_j$ equals $[pt]$ if $i = j$, and is zero otherwise. Assume that $\overline{\rho}(t_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + t_r\alpha_r) = 0$ for some complex numbers $t_1, \dots, t_r$. Multiplying by $\overline{\rho}(\beta_j)$ and taking the homogeneous component of degree $2n$, we obtain $t_j\overline{\rho}([pt]) = 0$ whence $t_j = 0$. Thus, $\overline{\rho}$ is injective, and the proof is complete.

10. The equivariant push forward

Next we describe the equivariant push–forward map

$$\int_X : H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) \to H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(pt) = \mathbb{C}[z],$$

associated to the map $X \to pt$. Note that $\int_X$ is $\mathbb{C}[z]$–linear and homogeneous of degree $-2n$. Denote by J the restriction to $\mathcal{Z}$ of the Jacobian determinant of the polynomial functions

$$v\mathcal{W}(x_1) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_1), \ldots, v\mathcal{W}(x_n) - 2\mathcal{V}(x_n)$$

in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Then J is homogeneous of degree $2n$, as follows from Lemma 2.

Theorem 4. *For any $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$, the function*

$$v \mapsto \sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{Z}} \frac{f(x,v)}{J(x,v)}$$

is polynomial. Further, for any $\alpha \in H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$:

$$(\int_X \alpha)(v) = \sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{Z}} \frac{\rho(\alpha)(x,v)}{J(x,v)}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$ is graded free $\mathbb{C}[v]$–module of rank r, we may choose a homogeneous basis $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ with $f_1 = 1$. As $J \notin (v)$, we may also assume $f_r = J$. If $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$, let $\varphi(f)$ be the rth coordinate of f in this basis. Then the map

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \times \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \to \mathbb{C}[v], \ (f,g) \mapsto \varphi(fg)$$

is a non–degenerate bilinear form, since it reduces modulo (v) to the duality pairing on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]/(v) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(\mathcal{V}(x_1), \ldots, \mathcal{V}(x_n))$. If $(g_1, \ldots, g_r)$ is the dual basis with respect to $(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ for this bilinear form, then $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ are homogeneous and satisfy $\deg(f_i) + \deg(g_i) = 2n$ for all i. As a consequence, the kernel of φ is generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_{r-1}$ and also by $g_2, \ldots, g_r$ as a $\mathbb{C}[z]$–module. Since $J = f_r$, we have $\varphi(Jg_1) = \cdots = \varphi(Jg_{r-1}) = 0$, so that $\varphi(Jf_2) = \cdots = \varphi(Jf_r) = 0$ whereas $\varphi(Jf_1) = \varphi(J) = 1$.

Let

$$\mathrm{Tr} : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \to \mathbb{C}[v]$$

be the trace map for the (finite, flat) morphism $p : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{A}^1$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f)(v) = \sum_{(x,v)\in\mathcal{Z}} f(x,v)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{A}^1$; in particular, $\mathrm{Tr}(1) = r$. Since Tr is homogeneous of degree 0 and $\mathbb{C}[z]$–linear, its kernel is a graded complement of the $\mathbb{C}[v]$–module $\mathbb{C}[v] = \mathbb{C}[v]f_1$ in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. It follows that this kernel is generated by $f_2, \ldots, f_r$. Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(g) = r\varphi(Jg)$$

for all $g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$. This equality holds then for all $g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}][v^{-1}]$, and hence for all rational functions on $\mathcal{Z}$. Note that J restricts to a non–zero function on any component of $\mathcal{Z}$, so that $\frac{1}{J}$ is a rational function on $\mathcal{Z}$. We thus have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{f}{J}) = r\varphi(f)$$

for any $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}]$; this implies the first assertion. The second assertion follows from the localization theorem in equivariant cohomology. ☐

11. Some concluding remarks

If $\alpha \in H^*_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ is a product of equivariant Chern classes, then (23) is the equivariant Bott residue formula for regular $\mathfrak{B}$–varieties (cf. [4]).

One can also, by similar methods extend (23) to obtain a formula for the equivariant Gysin homomorphism associated to an equivariant morphism of regular smooth projective B–varieties (cf. [1] for the case of flag varieties).

More generally, consider a smooth projective variety X with an action of an arbitrary torus T such that X^T is finite. Then the precise version of the localization theorem given in [10] yields a reduced affine scheme whose coordinate ring is the equivariant cohomology ring of X (see [5] for details and applications). The scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ of this note gives a more explicit picture, but the requirement of a regular $\mathfrak{B}$–action is harder to satisfy. It would be nice to be able to relax the regularity assumption to allow $X^{\mathfrak{U}}$ to have positive dimension.

References

[1] E. Akyildiz and J. B. Carrell: *An algebraic formula for the Gysin homomorphism from G/B to G/P*, Ill. J. Math. **31** (1987), 312–320.

[2] E. Akyildiz and J. B. Carrell: *A generalization of the Kostant–Macdonald identity*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989), 3934–3937.

[3] A. Bialynicki–Birula: *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 480–497.

[4] R. Bott: *Vector fields and characteristic numbers*, Mich. Math. J. **14** (1967), 231–244.

[5] M. Brion: *Poincaré duality and equivariant (co)homology. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 77–92.

[6] J. B. Carrell: *Bruhat cells in the nilpotent variety and the intersection rings of Schubert varieties*, J. Differential Geometry **37** (1993), 651–668.

[7] J. B. Carrell: *Deformation of the nilpotent zero scheme and the intersection rings of invariant subvarieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **460** (1995), 37–54.

[8] F. DeMari, C. Procesi and M. Shayman: *Hessenberg varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1992), 529–534.

[9] A. Giventhal and B. Kim: *Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices*, Comm. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), 609–641.

[10] R. M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz and R. MacPherson: *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), 25–84.

[11] B. Kostant: *Flag manifold quantum cohomology, the Toda lattice and the representation with highest weight*, Selecta Mathematica, New Series **2** (1996), 43–91.
[12] D. Peterson: *Quantum cohomology of G/P*, in preparation.

Acknowledgement: The second author thanks Shrawan Kumar and Dale Peterson.

Université de Grenoble I, Département de Mathématiques, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 du CNRS, 38402 Saint–Martin d'Hères Cedex, France
E-mail address: `Michel.Brion@ujf-grenoble.fr`

Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z2
E-mail address: `carrell@math.ubc.ca`